

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,604	11/24/2003	Kazunori Sugitani	00862.023320	1029
5514	7590 05/06/2005		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			LE, UYEN CHAU N	
	30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2876	
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A
ŊΟ

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/718,604	SUGITANI, KAZUNORI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Uyen-Chau N. Le	2876			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ja	nuary 2005.				
2a)☑ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		.a			
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	o			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			

Application/Control Number: 10/718,604

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Prelim. Amdt/Amendment

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Amendment filed 21 January 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi (US 5,378,887) in view of Seita et al (US 6,729,550).

Re claims 1-3, 5, 7-9 and 11: Kobayashi discloses a non-contact communication apparatus/card 30 control system and method comprising: a communication component 40 which communicates information in a non-contact state; a time designation component 12 which designates a communication permission time; and a communication control component 14 which determines, upon receiving a communication request, whether or not the communication permission time designated by the time designation component 12 has been reached, permits communication by the communication component 14 when determining that the permission time has been reached (col. 6, lines 45-48), and prohibits communication by said communication

component when determining that the permission time has not been reached (fig. 2; col. 5, line 35 through col. 6, line 54); wherein the card further comprises a designation component 22 which designates permission/prohibition of communication, and the communication control component 14 further prohibits communication by the communication component 40 if prohibition of communication is designated by the designation component 22 (e.g., the Schmitt trigger circuit 22 has an output E connected to an input of the control circuit 14; the control circuit outputs a control signal controlling the main circuit 16 according to the output signal E of the Schmitt trigger circuit 22) (col. 5, lines 25+ and col. 6, lines 24+); a recording component (e.g., memory 32) which records a log of communication performed by the communication component 40 (figs. 5-9; col. 7, line 41 through col. 9, line 32).

Kobayashi is silent with respect to the communication permission time being set by a user of the card.

Seita et al teaches a portable terminal apparatus may have a unit in which the user can change and set the predetermined period of time (col. 2, lines 35-45).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Seita et al into the system as taught by Kobayashi in order to provide Kobayashi with an advantageous system in which a user has the flexibility in setting and changing a predetermined communication time to his/her desired for each service, and therefore an obvious expedient.

4. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gaultier (US 6,631,848) in view of Seita et al (US 6,729,550).

Art Unit: 2876

Re claims 13-14: Gaultier discloses a program for controlling a non-contact communication apparatus which has a communication component 21 which communicates information in a non-contact state, the program causing a computer to execute: a time designation step of designating a communication permission time (e.g., "dead time" or "silent time" T₀) (col. 5, lines 26-31); a communication control step of determining, upon receiving a communication request, whether or not the communication permission time designated in the time designation step has been reached, permitting communication by the communication component when determining that the permission time has been reached, and prohibiting communication by the communication component when determining that the permission time has not been reached (figs. 4a & 4b; col. 5, lines 20-57); a logic circuit, which serves as a designation component which designates permission/prohibition of communication; a computer program causing a computer to execute a communication control step of prohibiting communication by the communication component when prohibition of communication is designated by the designation component (col. 5, lines 46-57).

Gaultier is silent with respect to the communication permission time being set by a user of the card.

Seita et al teaches a portable terminal apparatus may have a unit in which the user can change and set the predetermined period of time (col. 2, lines 35-45).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Seita et al into the system as taught by Gaultier in order to provide Gaultier with an advantageous system in which a user has the

flexibility in setting and changing a predetermined communication time to his/her desired for each service, and therefore an obvious expedient.

5. Claims 4, 6, 10, 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi as modified by Seita et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Parrault (US 6,724,103). The teachings of Kobayashi as modified by Seita et al have been discussed above.

Re claims 4, 6, 10, 12 and 15: Kobayashi/Seita et al have been discussed above but fail to teach or fairly suggest a display component/step for displaying information recorded in the recording step.

Parrault teaches a non-contact card 10 having a display 30 (fig. 2) for displaying recorded information (col. 4, line 66 through col. 5, line 5).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Parrault into the system as taught by Kobayashi/Seita et al in order to provide the user/operator with the ability to verify transaction data via the display, preventing any undesired information being transacted. Furthermore, such modification would provide the user the ability to correct and/or stop a transaction readily upon receiving any incorrect information, and therefore an obvious expedient.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,604

Art Unit: 2876

Page 6

Newly cited reference to Seita et al has been used in the new ground rejection to further meet the newly added limitation of the claimed invention.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The patents to Takahira et al (US 5191192 A); Furuta (US 5698837 A); Popp (US 5984175 A) are cited as of interest and illustrate to a similar structure of a non-contact communication card and non-contact communication apparatus control method.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,604

Art Unit: 2876

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Uyen-Chau N. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-2397.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Wed. and Fri. 5:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MICHAEL G LEE can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Uyen-Chau N. Le

May 2, 2005

THIEN M. LE PRIMARY EXAMINER